

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/578,816

Applicant(s)

YEN, ROBERT C.

Examiner

Kristie Shingles

Art Unit

2141

All Participants:

(1) Kristie Shingles, Examiner.

(2) Michael Ferrazano, Atty. 44, 105.

Status of Application: PENDING

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 28 February 2007

Time: 2:30pm

Type of Interview:



Telephonic



Video Conference



Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

1-5, 11, 12, 15, 17-26 and 28

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner contacted Atty regarding an Election Restriction between Group I: Claims 1-4 and Group 2: 5, 11, 12, 15, 17-26 and 28.

Atty made no election, in light of receiving an Election Restriction action.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)